

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,504	06/26/2003	James P. Peterson	200920-9007	5220	
1131	7590 02/14/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH LLP			SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM		
Two Prudential Plaza 180 North Stetson Avenue, Suite 2000		)	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO,			1774		

DATE MAILED: 02/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1/~	/
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/606,504	PETERSON, JAMES P.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Betelhem Shewareged	1774	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 N</u>	ovember 2005.		
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, p	prosecution as to the merits is	
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) 18,19,21-27 and 30-37 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 18,19,21-27,30-34,36 and 37 is/are reclaim(s) 35 is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
		r ciccion requirement.		
	ion Papers			
·	The specification is objected to by the Examine		- Eventana	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage	
Attachmen	t(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	nry (PTO-413)	
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 12/2/05.	Paper No(s)/Mail		

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's response filed on 11/02/2005 has been fully considered. The Claim
 Objections have been withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendment.

2. Claims 1-17, 20, 28 and 29 are cancelled, claim 18, 21-23, 26, 27, 30, 31 and 33-35 are amended, claims 36 and 37 are added, and claims 18, 19, 21-27 and 30-37 are pending.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 18, 19, 21-24, 26, 27, 30-34, 36 and 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Freeman (US 4,946,532).

A multilayer web construction comprising a release liner, a release coating (R) on the release liner, an adhesive coating (s) on the release coating (R), and a facestock on the adhesive coating (s), wherein the facestock is die-cut to form pressure sensitive labels (Fig. 3A-3D and col. 6, line 21). The facestock is equivalent to the claimed plastic plate, the release coating (R) is equivalent to the claimed backing material, and the release liner is equivalent to the claimed rigid base. The facestock may comprise polyvinylchloride (col. 10, line 14 and col. 11, line 26-28), and may be corona treated to increase printability of the layer (col. 10, line 23). Roughness at a face may be provided by embossing effect (col. 8, line 52).

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504 Page 3

Art Unit: 1774

#### Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's argument is based on that the prior art discloses a continuous web of material that is fed through a high speed label processing machine that handles continuous webs of material and creates labels. Also Applicant argues that the prior art does not teach the limitation of a fixed size and shape. This argument is not persuasive because the argument is based on a process limitation. Process limitations do not affect the issue of the patentability of the article claims. The limitation of a fixed size and shape is not a positive limitation because the value of the size and the type of the shape have never been recited in any on the claims, and also once the labels of the prior art are created the labels automatically posses a certain size and shape. Thus claims 18, 19, 21-24, 26, 27 and 30-34 stand rejected, and claims 36 and 37 are included in the rejection.
- 5. Claims 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 27, 30, 32 and 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scholz et al. (US 6,461,707 B1).

Scholz discloses a PSA (pressure sensitive adhesive) label comprising a liner, a releasable material on the liner, and a PSA layer on the releasable material, and a facestock on the PSA layer, wherein the label is printed cut and stripped to form the desired shape and size (col. 3, lines 26-48). The liner is equivalent to the claimed rigid base, the releasable material is equivalent to the claimed backing material and the facestock is equivalent to the claimed plastic plate.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504

Art Unit: 1774

#### Response to Arguments

6. Applicant has failed to response to the above USC 102(e) rejection anticipated by Scholz.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freeman (US 4,946,532), as applied to claims 18, 19, 21-24, 26, 27, 30-34, 36 and 37, above.

Freeman discloses the claimed invention except for slots or holes in the pressure sensitive labels. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to make slots or holes in the labels, since applicant has not disclosed that the slots and holes solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the slots and holes.

8. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. (US 6,461,707 B1), as applied to claims 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 27, 30, 32, 34, 36 and 37, above.

Scholz discloses the claimed invention except for slots or holes in the pressure sensitive labels. It would have been obvious matter of design choice to make slots or holes in the labels, since applicant has not disclosed that the slots and holes solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the slots and holes.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504

Art Unit: 1774

#### Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's argument to the USC 103(a) rejections over Freeman and Scholz is based on that the prior arts do disclose the limitation of claim 25. This argument is not persuasive because even thought the limitation of claim 25 is not expressly disclosed in the prior art, the examiner has shown the deficiency of the prior arts, and the examiner further explained why it would be a mater of a design choice to incorporate the limitation of claim 25. Since applicant has not disclosed the criticality of the slots and holes to the claimed invention, or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the invention would perform equally well with the slots and holes. Thus claim 25 stand rejected.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claim 35 is allowed over Freeman and Scholz.

#### Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/606,504

Art Unit: 1774

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

B.S.

February 8, 2006.

BETELHEM SHEWAREGED

Page 6